

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/749,582 | 12/31/2003 | David G. Wang | 11421 | 9495 | |
| 7590 08/08/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| John D. Cowart | | | BUL, HUNG S | | |
| NCR Corporation 1700 South Patterson Blvd. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Dayton, OH 45479-0001 | | | 2841 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/08/200 | DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ì. |
|--------|
| |
| \sim |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/749,582 | WANG, DAVID G. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Hung S. Bui | 2841 | |

| • | | Alt Ollic | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Hung S. Bui | 2841 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be | filed within two month | ne of the date of | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | had a day to the date of Class a bod of | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.) | | i E below); | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | (DTOL 004) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Alarah Elad amandara | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>6-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an | | | | | | |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | - NI-8' 6 A 1 to A - 3 A- Ab | 4-1-1550-1-155 | 21 - 41 | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | • | | | |
| 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | 1 | \bigcirc | | | | |
| | d. | | | | | |
| | V die a | 7) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record teaches or suggests the invention as claimed.